

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,023	12/30/2004	Magnus Qvist	BJS-1916-80 5081	
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 03/16/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	MONDESI, ROBERT B		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	. DELIVERY MODE
•			03/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/520,023	QVIST, MAGNUS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert B. Mondesi	1652		

	Robert B. Mondesi	1652	-			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must get attempt of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co): Ilowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6 and 8-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ii bo ontolog and an	SAPIGITORI OF			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N I'd sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considerated because: See Continuation Sheet. 		•				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants have amended claim 1 in order to limit the scope of the claim to pH less than 2.5 as opposed to 2.5 or less; however this will not overcome the cited reference because the said reference teaches about 2.5. It is reasonable and logical to interpret about 2.5 to be 2.49, which is less than 2.5.

ROBERT B. MONDESI PATENT EXAMINER

3-15-2007